

**SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BOARD OF EDUCATION**

Agenda Item# 11.1d

**Meeting Date** August 5, 2021

**Subject:** Approve Minutes of the May 27, 2021, Board of Education Special Meeting

- Information Item Only
- Approval on Consent Agenda
- Conference (for discussion only)
- Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated )
- Conference/Action
- Action
- Public Hearing

**Division:** Superintendent's Office

**Recommendation:** Approve Minutes of the May 27, 2021, Board of Education Special

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Meeting.

: None

**Financial Considerations:** None

**CAB Role(s):** Family and Community Engagement

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



Putting  
Children

# Sacramento City Unified School District

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

*Christina Pritchett, President (Trustee Area 3)*  
*Lisa Murawski, Vice President (Trustee Area 1)*  
*Darrel Woo, Second Vice President (Trustee Area 6)*

*Thursday, May 27, 2021*  
*4:30 p.m.*

*allowed for public comment, depending on the agenda item and the number of public comments.*

**3.0 CLOSED SESSION**

*While the Brown Act creates broad public access rights to the meetings of the Board of Education, it also recognizes the legitimate need to conduct some of its meetings outside of the public eye. Closed session meetings are specifically defined and limited in scope. They primarily involve personnel issues, pending litigation, labor negotiations, and real*

*property matters.*

**3.1 Government Code 54956.9 - Conference with Legal Counsel:**

*a) Existing litigation pursuant to subdivision (d) (1) of Government Code Section 54956*

*San Francisco Superior Court Case No. SJ-CV-2012-000727, San Francisco*

*County Board of Supervisors, et al. v. San Francisco Board of Supervisors, et al.*

*2021010068, and 2021030598)*

**3.2 Government Code 54957.6 (a) and (b) Negotiations/Collective Bargaining SCTA SEIU, TCS,**  
*Temecula UDF, New River, etc.*

*the SCUSD ELO plan.*

*Public Comment:*

*Angie Sutherland*

*Sara Williams Kingslev*

*Diana Webster Hunt*

*Board Comment:*

*Superintendent Aguilar made some additional comments regarding this item*

*Member Garcia thanked staff; she noted the compressed timeline and how that made it more difficult to obtain community input. She also thanked the Superintendent for highlighting the valuable input from partnership with PIQE. She asked if there will additionally be identification of students at site level. Mr. Harris responded that principals will provide input as part of a two-step process. Member Garcia asked about the allocation of resources for training and asked when training will happen. Mr. Harris responded that it will be figured out over the next few weeks. Ms. Flores gave more*

6.2 *Facilities Master Plan Update (Rose Ramos and Nathaniel Browning)*

*to solicit a group to complete the facilities master plan; the firm selected to complete this plan is*

[Redacted text block]

within each of the three legs of the process. Vice President Murawski gave her thoughts on the role of the Board in this process, and she looks forward to seeing the data. She asked if the data will be available after the Board adopts the plan. Mr. Covington said the data will be available to staff early next week, and he said how it communicates to the community will be brought back to the Board for approval. Vice President Murawski asked what the master plan will entail. Mr. Dames

[Redacted text block]